

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,061	04/04/2005	John Sidney	2060.0330002/EKS/MM	7448	
26111 STERNE KES	7590 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &		EXA	MINER	
1100 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	2 TOTT I IIII.C.	BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,061	SIDNEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LYNN BRISTOL	1643	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
ı	periods:
	a) Mr period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
	under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
ı	set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 July 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS

з. 🗆	
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🗵	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🛛 will be entered and an explanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 18 and 20-29.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Enablement 112, 1st paragraph, Applicants have amended the claims to recite that the composition comprises "one or more peptides eight to thirteen residues in length" and where at least one of the peptides of the composition is a species selected from Markush group of Claim 1. Applicants amendment does not overcome the outstanding enablement rejection because the recitation in Claim 1 "CTL epitope" impliedly requires that each peptide actually posses the inherent property of being T-cell specific and CTL immunogenic and further as defined in the specificaiton as having MHC binding ability. Applicants have not demonstrated the genus of peptides falling within the recited size range for peptides possessing all of the required properties in

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),

12.	Note the	attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	

13. Other: __

order to be a "CTL epitope.".

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080908

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of claims 1, 5-13, 18 and 20-29 under 112, 1st paragraph, written description.